### MEASURING THERMAL-INDUCED DISTORTION OF LARGE-FORMAT COMPOSITE PRINTED STRUCTURES USING DIGITAL IMAGE CORRELATION

Tyler M. Corum<sup>1</sup>, Johnna C. O'Connell<sup>1</sup>, Ahmed A. Hassen<sup>2</sup>, Chad E. Duty<sup>1,2</sup>

1. Mechanical, Aerospace, and Biomedical Engineering Department - University of Tennessee 2. Manufacturing Science Division - Oak Ridge National Laboratory

**Tyler Corum** Graduate Research Assistant University of Tennessee, Knoxville



April 17-20, 2023 | Seattle, WA Conference & Exhibition

### Welcome!

- Tyler Corum
- University of Tennessee, Knoxville
- Master's Student
- Graduate Research Assistant
- Mechanical Engineering background
- Research focus in fiber alignment and thermal deformation of composite polymers created using Large-Format Additive Manufacturing (LFAM)





### First, a couple questions...

- Why measure thermal-induced distortion of Large-Format Composite Printed Structures?
- Why use Digital Image Correlation?





## **The BIG Picture of Large-Format AM**

- Large-Format AM (LFAM) is advantageous for tooling applications
- Big Area Additive Manufacturing (BAAM) type system can create large and complex parts<sup>1</sup>
- Fiber Reinforced Polymers (FRP) feedstock increases part stiffness and lowers CTE<sup>2</sup>
- AM tools may still experience warpage at autoclave conditions



Tool warping due to poor tool-part interaction

### What leads to this part warpage?

1. Duty et al., 2015, DOI: 10.2172/1209207 2. Love et al., 2014, DOI: 10.1557/jmr.2014.212



# **Fiber Alignment**

Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites = MATRIX + FIBER

- During extrusion, fibers are aligned by nozzle shear in the print direction<sup>3,4</sup>
- This results in a bead with highly aligned edges and randomly aligned center
- After extrusion, the printed fiber aligned bead has an anisotropic cross section
- Anisotropic beads lead to a highly anisotropic structure



### **Thermomechanical Anisotropy**

- Different thermal properties in the x, y, and z-direction
- Fibers resist expansion much more in the x-direction than in the y-direction
- Alignment causes different tiers of CTE in based on amount of alignment
  Ex: less fiber alignment at center, lower x-dir CTE than at highly aligned edge
- Thermomechanical Analysis (TMA) does not accurately capture tiered CTE due to sample size limitations as shown by previous work<sup>3,5</sup>



### **DIC Oven**



displacement vectors

**Camera Placement** 



strain

### **Research Objectives**

- Measure the CTE of FRP made LFAM prints
  - Various sample geometry, effects on system measurement
    Various material, effects on CTE
- Perform thermocouple study to confirm when a sample has reached steady state
- SolidWorks Thermal Simulation to model thermocouple study conditions for future samples

 $_{\odot}$  Custom geometry and material properties



### **Materials**



- BAAM printed samples by Oak Ridge National Labs (ORNL) and Additive Engineering Solutions (AES)
- Plate (50x50x20 mm) & cube (50x50x50 mm) geometries printed
- Faces of interested speckled
- For thermocouple study testing, hole drilled in CF-PESU cube for thermocouple placement (diameter = 2.5 mm, depth = 25 mm)

Material Details						
Matrix Material	% Fiber Material by weight	Acronym	Printed Geometry	Images Face(s)		
acrylonitrile butadiene styrene	20% Glass Fiber	GF-ABS	plate, cube	XY, XZ		
acrylonitrile butadiene styrene	20% Carbon Fiber	CF-ABS	plate, cube	XY, XZ		
polyether sulfone	25% Carbon Fiber	CF-PESU	cube	XZ		



Before (left) and after (right) speckling





Speckled face (left) and channel for thermocouple insert (right)



## **DIC Oven Testing**



Room Temperature

Steady State Temperature

#### **Procedure Overview**

- Set sample (position, brightness)
- Room temperature image capture
- Allow sample to reach steady state temperature
  - o 4 hours for plate
  - o 6 hours for cube
- Steady state image capture
- Upload images to Vic-2D software
- Enter data in equation below to find CTE

$$CTE = \frac{\varepsilon_{SS} - \varepsilon_{RT}}{T_{SS} - T_{RT}}$$

 $\mathcal{E} = strain$  T = temperature value SS = steady state temperatureRT = room temperature



## **DIC Oven CTE Values**





- Overall trend of CTE: x-dir < y-dir < z-dir
- CF-ABS showed higher z-dir CTE with the cube geometry  $\triangle x = 4\%$ ,  $\triangle y = 5\%$ , and  $\triangle z = 12\%$
- GF-ABS x and y-dir CTE affected by geometry
  - $\circ$   $\Delta x = 24\%$ ,  $\Delta y = 16\%$ , and  $\Delta z = 9\%$



x-direction



# **DIC Oven Strain Plots, XY**



#### Contour plot of strain for GF-ABS Cube



#### Contour plot of strain for GF-ABS Plate



- Cube geometry provided much more surface area to examine than the plate
- DIC camera captured more unique areas for cube geometry
- More bead interaction captured lead to better understanding of CTE from aligned fibers
- X-direction for each showed homogenous spread of relatively low strain from FRP
- Y-direction captured high areas of strain from aligned fibers



# **DIC Oven CTE Values**





- Overall trend of CTE: x-dir < y-dir < z-dir</li>
- CTE values similar to those found by Billah and Colón Quintana using TMA<sup>6,7</sup>
- GF-ABS CTE appeared to be more homogenous based on CTE
- Bead width skewed comparison
  - $\circ$  GF-ABS = 27 mm, CF-ABS = 15 mm



Billah et al., 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.addma.2020.101299
 Colón Quintana et al., 2022, DOI: 10.3390/ma15082764

# **DIC Oven Strain Plots, XY**





The y-direction image captured more homogenous than aligned fiber (wide bead)

- Strain contour plots were created using Vic-2D
- The x-direction showed spread of relatively low expansion
- The y-direction showed areas of high strain
- The high strain areas corresponded to the highly aligned edges of the bead
- GF-ABS saw only one bead interaction due to the larger bead width (27 mm)



# **DIC Oven Strain Plots, XY**



Contour plot of strain for CF-ABS μm/m 6400 BEAD 5256 3373 1062 BEAD 300 10 mm 10 mm x-direction y-direction

*The y-direction image shows fiber alignment across multiple beads!* 

- Strain contour plots were created using Vic-2D
- The x-direction showed spread of relatively low expansion
- The y-direction showed bands of low (blue) and high (red) strain
- Low strain occurred at the more homogenous bead center
- High strain occurred at bead edges
- The CF-ABS was able to capture more bead interactions from smaller bead width (15 mm)



### **Thermocouple Study**

- Imaged XZ face in time intervals below
- Type-K thermocouples placed
- Data recorded using DAQ
- Furnace temperature set to 90°C

DIC Oven Imaging Time Intervals			
Frequency	Duration		
5 minutes	30 minutes	$\left \right\rangle$	
2 minutes	30 minutes	Ĵ	
5 minutes	30 minutes		
10 minutes	30 minutes		
30 minutes	120 minutes		
60 minutes	Remainder of test	J	

Room Temperature

Thermal Load Applied



Jorth America

### **Thermocouple Study**



Steady State = ± 1% of average value from final hour

- Thermocouple Study (90°C) was ran using CF-PESU
- Strain and temperature shown over time
- Baseline values show near zero strain at room temperature
- Thermocouples met steady state as expected
- Cube center reached steady state 61 minutes after loading
- DIC testing well at steady state (4 hr plate, 6 hr cube)



### **Thermocouple Study**



- Thermocouple Study (180°C) was ran using CF-PESU
- Baseline correct, thermocouple reach steady state correct
- Cube center reached steady state 65 minutes after loading

Steady State = ± 1% of average value from final hour



### **SolidWorks Thermal Simulation**





- Customizable based on sample dimensions and directional material properties
- Initial conditions of entire cube at 20°C
- Simulate room
  temperature conditions



- Thermal load input of convection heating
- Convection Coeff = 100 W/m<sup>2</sup>K
- Bulk ambient temp of 90°C



## **SolidWorks Simulation**



Steady State = ± 1% of average value from final hour

- Cut section of thermal gradient from simulation
- Even heating across center
- Surface reached steady state before center as expected
- Simulation tested values for 300 minutes (5 hours)
- Let's compare to the thermocouple study...



### **SolidWorks Simulation**



Steady State = ± 1% of average value from final hour

- Values compared from thermocouple study and thermal simulation
- Very similar trend through first 30 minutes
- Simulation reached steady state 46 min after loading (15 min faster than measured)
- Will better meet oven conditions with ramp heating from thermocouple study



### Conclusions

- Successfully measured and compared CTE from fiber reinforced LFAM structures
- GF-ABS & CF-ABS, plate & cube samples
- Identified effects of fiber alignment
- Thermocouple study performed confirmed DIC Oven testing occurred at steady state
- Thermal simulation created as tool for DIC testing to avoid a thermocouple study for every geometry and material



Fiber alignment (top) and effects on thermomechanical properties (bottom)



### **Future Work**

- Further compare samples of different print dimensions
- Explore other ways to make CTE more homogenous (e.g. control fiber alignment)
- Improve thermal simulation by adding ramp heating from thermocouple study

Thermocouple validation ran during to ensure steady state conditions





Modeled CF-PESU cube for thermal simulation in SolidWorks



### Acknowledgement

- Thank you to Oak Ridge National Laboratory and Additive Engineering Solutions for providing materials for this study.
- This research was funded by the Southeastern Advanced Machine Tools Network (SEAMTN) at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville and sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Advanced Manufacturing Office, under contract DE-AC05-000R22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC.



# Thank you for your Time! Any Questions?





Scan to access presentation via my technical portfolio

April 17-20, 2023 | Seattle, WA Conference & Exhibition