EFFECTS OF FIBER ALIGNMENT ON THE THERMOMECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF LARGE-FORMAT PRINTED COMPOSITE POLYMER STRUCTURES

<u>Tyler Corum¹</u>, Vipin Kumar², Ahmed Hassen², Chad Duty^{1,2}

¹ Mechanical, Aerospace, and Biomedical Engineering - University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN ² Oak Ridge National Laboratory - Manufacturing Science Division Oak Ridge, TN









Overview Moving Forward

- Background
- Materials for Study
- Microscopy
- DIC Oven Testing
- CTE Modeling
- Conclusions



DIC Oven at the University of Tennessee



Large-Format Additive Manufacturing

- Large-Format AM (LFAM) is advantageous for tooling applications¹
- Big Area Additive Manufacturing (BAAM) and other LFAM type systems can create large complex parts
- Fiber Reinforced Polymers (FRP) feedstock increases part stiffness and lowers coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE)²
- AM tools may still experience warpage at autoclave conditions



What leads to this warpage?





Fiber Alignment



Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites = MATRIX + FIBER

- During the extrusion, fibers are aligned by nozzle shear in the print direction¹
- This results in highly aligned bead edge with a randomly oriented center by comparison²
- After extrusion, the fiber aligned bead has a highly anisotropic microstructure
- Anisotropic beads lead to a highly anisotropic mesostructure







Anisotropy from Fiber Alignment

- HIGHLY anisotropic thermomechanical properties in the x, y, and z-direction
- Fibers resist expansion much more in the longitudinal than transverse direction
- Alignment causes different tiers of CTE in based on amount of alignment
 - Ex: less fiber alignment at center, lower x-dir CTE than at highly aligned edge
- **Thermomechanical Analysis** (TMA) does not accurately capture CTE of the complex microstructure due to **size limitations** as shown by previous work^{1,2}



Traditional CTE Measurement

Thermomechanical Analysis (TMA)

- Traditionally used for CTE measurements
- TMA measures a small specimen (max size of 10 x 10 x 10 mm)¹
- Assume sample represents entire part
- Correct assumptions for homogenous material → incorrect for anisotropic material

TMA measures a small specimen

Individual BAAM bead is typically wider than maximum TMA dimensions

Assume sample represents entire part

Different behavior at bead interfaces, center of the bead, across beads, and between layers



- 1. Furnace
- 2. LVDT Probe
- 3. TMA Sample
- 4. Temperature Probe

3

5. Sample Stage





Because of the anisotropic behavior of FRP made LFAM structures, we need a better way to measure them





DIC Oven Overview







DIC Oven Procedure



Room Temperature

Steady State Temperature

Procedure Overview

- Set sample
- Room temperature images
- Allow the sample to reach steady state temperature & image
- Upload images to Vic-2D
- Enter data in equation below to find CTE

$$CTE = \frac{\varepsilon_{SS} - \varepsilon_{RT}}{T_{SS} - T_{RT}}$$

 ε = strain T = temperature SS = steady state temperature RT = room temperature



DIC Speckled

Sample



Objectives

- Relate fiber orientation to thermomechanical properties
 using optical methods and the DIC Oven
- Develop CTE model incorporating degree of fiber alignment to thermal expansion & validate with DIC Oven







Materials for Study

- 20% CF-ABS material printed using BAAM
- Microcopy samples machined to show XY and YZ planes for LFAM beads
- DIC cube (50 x 50 x 50 mm) machined to have flat, parallel faces and speckled



DIC sample speckled for 2D-DIC





- CF-ABS samples potted in Buhler EpoxiCure2 epoxy using a 1.25" puck
- Polished using an Allied High Tech MetPrep 3
- Imaged using Keyence VK-X3000 microscope







Single LFAM bead shown here















Bead interface between LFAM beads shown















XY bead view shown here







Notice how alignment decreases away from bead edge Due to nozzle shear

ty plane





Strain Map, XY Plane





Created using Vic-2D

- Homogenous spread of relatively low strain in the x-dir
- Notice red & blue bands
 of strain in the y-dir
 - Red is high strain at bead edges
 - Blue is lower strain at the more randomly oriented bead center



direction



influencing strain

Strain Map, XY Plane







Strain Map, XZ Plane





- Created using Vic-2DHomogenous spread of
 - low strain in x-dir
- Bands again in the y-dir
 - High strain from aligned fibers at layer interfaces (red)
 - Recall fibers provide much less resistance in transverse direction
 - Lower strain from random orientation (orange-yellow)





Strain Plotted



- The following maps the average strain (~2 beads shown here)
- Peaks correspond to highly fiber aligned bead edges
- Valleys are randomly oriented center
- Clearly not symmetric, but repetitive



Strain Plotted



- The following maps the average strain (~2 beads shown here)
- Peaks correspond to highly fiber aligned bead edges
- Valleys are randomly oriented center
- Clearly not symmetric, but repetitive





CTE Values – DIC Oven



- CTE values measured using the DIC Oven
- X-dir CTE is lowest from fiber aligned in this direction
- Y-dir CTE is higher due to fiber aligned at bead edges & random orientation in center
- Z-dir CTE is highest from fiber aligned along layer edges that provide little resistance to transvers direction expansion







- Modeled LFAM bead to allow multiple CTE inputs from TMA values
- Complex microstructure represented in the mesostructure of the LFAM bead
- LFAM structure (4x8 beads) created from beads to deform as a single part





TMA Inputs



- Use multiple TMA measurements to better capture anisotropic microstructure
- The DIC cube was cut using a diamond saw to test 5 total TMA samples
- Model used TMA values as inputs for different "materials" representative of TMA sample location



Here: LBI = left bead interface, LC = left of bead center, CB = center of bead, RC = right of bead center, & RBI = right bead interface



CTE Values - TMA



- Over CTE trend of x < y < z
- Differing CTE based on location → fiber orientation
- Suspect LCI ≠ RBI was function of shearing from print path
- Values used as CTE inputs for Abaqus model





CTE Values - TMA



- Over CTE trend of x < y < z
- Differing CTE based on location → fiber orientation
- Suspect LCI ≠ RBI was function of shearing from print path
- Values used as CTE inputs for Abaqus model







- Modeled vs. measured CTE shown
- Modeled CTE is similar to measured
- The z-dir CTE modeled in Abaqus was lower than measured by DIC Oven
 - TMA inputs struggle to capture deformation between layers
 - TMA is a local measurement where DIC Oven is global







- Z-dir strain shown at different z-depths
- Changes as depth changes
- Machining depth is important when imaging samples







- Z-dir strain shown at different z-depths
- Changes as depth changes
- Machining depth is important when imaging samples







- Z-dir strain shown at different z-depths
- Changes as depth changes
- Machining depth is important when imaging samples







- Z-dir strain shown at different z-depths
- Changes as depth changes
- Machining depth is important when imaging samples







- Z-dir strain shown at different z-depths
- Changes as depth changes
- Machining depth is important when imaging samples





Conclusions

- Fiber orientation directly impacts thermomechanical properties of LFAM structures
- Effects can be visualized using microscopy and measured DIC Oven
 - More aligned fiber at bead edges results in region with higher CTE
 - More randomly oriented center results in lower CTE regions
- Modeling can predict CTE of LFAM structures when inputs capture complex microstructure
- Machining is important as to not skew results



Microscopy image of aligned bead interface (left) & strain map from aligned fiber (below)







Future Work

- X-ray CT scanning to obtain fiber orientation for a bead profile (right)
- Serial sectioning of CF-ABS sample to verify model results using DIC Oven method (below)









Acknowledgements

Special thanks to the Southeastern Advanced Machine Tools Network (SEAMTN) at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville for funding this research.

Microscopy performed by Sam Pankratz at the Fiber Composites Manufacturing Facility (FCMF) at the University of Tennessee.

This research was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Advanced Manufacturing Office, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC.





MTN Southeastern Advanced Machine Tools Network



Thank you for your time!

Any Questions?



Polymer Composite Additive Manufacturing





Southeastern Advanced Machine Tools Network





THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE KNOXVILLE

Contact: tcorum2@vols.utk.edu

